

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/003,059	11/02/2001	Daniel J. Piotrowski	US010515	4914	
24737 75	590 02/25/2004	EXAMINER			
	ELLECTUAL PROPER	ST CYR, DANIEL			
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF	l MANOR, NY 10510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2876		
		DATE MAILED: 02/25/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Application	n No.	Applicant(s)		1.				
			10/003,059		PIOTROWSKI ET	AL.	le					
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit								
				Daniel St.C	<u></u> l	2876						
Pe	riod for	The MAILING DATE of this commun Reply	ication appe	ars on the	cover sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress					
St	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply sis specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status											
	1)⊠ I	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>12 Auc</u>	gust 2003.								
	2a)□ ·	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This a	ction is nor	n-final.							
Di	spositio	on of Claims										
	4)🛛 (☑ Claim(s) <u>1-10,12,14-17 and 19</u> is/are pending in the application.										
	4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.										
	5) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
	6)⊠ ()⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,12,14-17 and 19</u> is/are rejected.										
	-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.										
	8) 🗌 (Claim(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or	election re	quirement.							
Αţ	plicatio	on Papers										
		he specification is objected to by th			_							
	• —	he drawing(s) filed on is/are:		-								
		Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
		Replacement drawing sheet(s) including						i).				
	-	he oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Exa	aminer. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form P	O-152.					
Pr	•	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120										
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).											
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.												
•	 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 											
	achment(•			_							
2)	Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P	PTO-948) aper No(s)	:	4)							

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/12/03 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tracy et al, US Patent No. 5,979,757, in view of Dworkin, US Patent No. 4,992,940.

Tracy et al disclose a method and system for presenting item information using a portable data terminal, the portable terminal comprising: a label reader 704 for reading information from a label; a communication unit 702 for communicating to one or more service nodes 40, 42, 50; a controller 701, coupled the label reader, the label reader send request to on or more of the service nodes through the communication unit, receive an information response from the service node, and display the information response, wherein the request and the response are formatted as document capable of being exchanged in a distributed decentralized environment (see col. 5, line 25+; figures 1-3).

Art Unit: 2876

Re claim 2, wherein the information response includes competitive product information of a product associated with the label (see col. 9).

Re claims 3, 8, 17, wherein the controller is further arrange to allow profile information to be access by a service node to engage in a commercial transaction (see col.9, lie 6+).

Re claim 4, wherein the apparatus and the service node communicate in a client/server network (see figure 1).

Re claims 5 and 6, wherein the documents comprise XML document expressed as SOAP messages (see col. 10, lines 33-38).

Re claim 7, the scanner inherently includes a light sensor, serving as context sensor, coupled to the controller.

Re claims 9 and 16, wherein the controller allows a user to complete an on-line transaction (see col. 8, line 19+).

Re claim 10, wherein the controller allows a user to adjust the read information from a label and resending a request to a service node (based on nutritional information or other selection) (see col. 8, line 54+).

Tracy et al teaches that information is downloaded to the portable 100 over wireless network 130, but fail to disclose or fairly suggest that the controller permits the user to request pricing information from a plurality of vendors and stores that offer a particular product for sale identified by the information read from the label, said information from a variety of vendors being retrieved by said service mode.

Dworkin discloses a system and method for automated selection of equipment for purchase through input of user desired specification comprising: input means for selecting a

Art Unit: 2876

product wherein when the product is selected, the system investigate the product to display a plurality of suppliers supplying the product, the supplier information includes price, discount, availability, etc.

In view of Dworkin's teachings, it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Tracy to access price information from different vendors. Such modification would be more beneficial to consumers wherein the consumer would provide with the option of choosing the lowest price for the product. Furthermore, such modification would enhance and make the system more desirable. Therefore, it would have been an obvious extension as taught by Tracy et al.

4. Claims 12, 14-17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tracy et al as modified by Dworkin as applied to claims 1-10 above, and further in view of Loop, US Patent No. 6,507,275.

Tracy et al as modified by Dworkin teaches that information is downloaded to the portable 100 over wireless network 130, but fail to disclose or fairly suggest using an RFID reader to read information from an RFID tag from a product

Loof discloses a complete integrated self-checkout system and method comprising: an RFID reader for reading information from RFID tags associated with products; communication means for communicating information to one or more nodes; a controller means coupled the RFID reader, the communication unit, arranged to receive information from the RFID reader, allow a user to adjust the receive information (select products), send a request, using the adjusted information, to one or more of the service nodes, and display the information response. (see figures 1, 2 and col. 3, line 22 to col. 4, line 46).

Art Unit: 2876

In view of Loof's teachings, it would have been obvious for a person for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Tracy et al as modified by Dworkin by incorporating the well known RFID components (i.e. RFID tags and RFID reader) into the system of Tracy et al to communicate products' information for performing transactions. Such modification would enhance the system by providing an alternate means for effectively communicating products information to execute transactions, which would make the system more practical and more reliable. Therefore, it would have been an obvious extension as taught by Tracy et al as modified by Dworkin.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Gindlesperger, US Patent No. 6,397,197, discloses an apparatus and method for obtaining lowest bid from information product vendors. Benyak, US Patent No. 6,533,173, discloses a product locator.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel St.Cyr whose telephone number is 703-305-2656. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G Lee can be reached on 703-305-3503. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7721.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Art Unit: 2876

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2876

DS

January 19, 2004